Red Cell Distribution Width as a Marker of Inflammation in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

Background: Red Blood Cell Distribution (RDW) is recognized as a global marker of chronic inflammation.

Aim of Study: To study the relation between RDW and complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its relation to CRP.

Methods: The study included 70 patients with type 2 DM and 20 healthy controls with clinical and laboratory data including HbA1c, RDW and C Reactive Protein (CRP).

Results: Patient group showed 65 (92.85%) of them had macrovascular and 64 (91.42%) patients had microvascular complications. When we compared patients with macrovascular and microvascular complications to control group we found high RDW in those with vascular complications than control group (p=0.001). There was a significant difference between RDW in patients with macrovascular complications in comparison to those without macrovascular complications (p-value=0.02). There was a significant difference between RDW in patients with nephropathy compared to those with retinopathy.

Conclusion: In our study, we confirmed a strong relation between diabetics with vascular complications and RDW.
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Introduction

DIABETES mellitus is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia which results from either defect in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both.

Chronic hyperglycemia of diabetes mellitus is associated with long term damage, dysfunction, and failure of different organs, especially the eyes, kidneys, nerves, heart and blood vessels [1].

The primary cause of mortality in diabetic patients is Cardiovascular Diseases (CVD) [2] while major cause of morbidity is microvascular complications [3].

Detection of markers for macrovascular and microvascular disease could supply new data about pathogenesis of diabetic complications which may help early diagnosis and help to take decisions in terms of prevention and treatment.

Red cell distribution width is a measure of variation in size of circulating erythrocytes (anisocytosis) [4] which is routinely obtained in standard Complete Blood Cell counts (CBC) without more costs and has been used in differential diagnosis of anemia.

The normal value of RDW is ranging between (11.5 and 14.5%), while higher values indicate greater variations in cell sizes [4].

RDW is as follows:

- RDW-SD 39-46 fl [5].
- RDW-CV 11.6-14.6% in adult [6].

High RDW indicate the presence of anisocytosis which is related to impairment of erythropoiesis and degradation of erythrocytes [4], reflecting chronic inflammation and increased level of oxidative stress.

Elevated RDW is reported on complete blood count, marked anisocytosis (increased variation in red cell size) is expected on peripheral blood smear review. Many researchers have suggested the relationship between RDW and diabetes-associated complications and investigated whether there is any association between RDW, nephropathy, neuropathy and Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD) in type 2 diabetic population [7].
One of the markers that have recently received considerable attention for its role in diabetes is RDW. RDW is a quantitative measure of the heterogeneity circulating red blood cell size, and is normally assessed in the differential diagnosis of anemia [8]. RDW is effectively a free test that is reported beside a complete blood count without extra cost by well prognostic value [7]. So this study of RDW and type 2 diabetes in order to evaluate capability of RDW as a novel prognostic marker in diabetic patients.

High RDW indicate the presence of anisocytosis which is related to impairment of erythropoiesis and degradation of erythrocytes [4]. Reflecting chronic inflammation and increased level of oxidative stress [9].

However, the underlying biological mechanism remain unclear. RDW is recognized as global marker of chronic inflammation and oxidative stress [10].

There was strong and independent association of RDW level with risk of all-cause and Cardiovascular (CV) mortality in patients with Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) [11]. And in the general population [12].

However, the relationship between RDW and macrovascular complication of DM was not investigated in any studies, moreover no published data were reported on the relationship between RDW and microvascular complication in diabetic patients [13].

The aim of the study:
To study the relation between RDW and vascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its relation to other inflammatory marker as CRP.

Patients and Methods
This study is a cross-sectional study, conducted on 70 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and 20 healthy controls. Selected from Internal Medicine Department at Assiut University Hospital and Endocrinology Out Patient Clinic, from March 2016 to March 2017.

Inclusion criteria:
- Type 2 diabetes mellitus with micro and/or macrovascular complications.
- Type 2 diabetes mellitus without microvascular or macrovascular complications.
- Type 2 diabetes mellitus with acute complications like Diabetic Ketoacidosis (DKA) or acute illness (cold, flu, diarrhea, vomiting, pneumonia or ear infections within the last month).
- Chronic illness such as congestive heart failure or chronic liver disease.
- All anemic patient with Hb level less than 13 excluded from our study.

All patients and were subjected to the following:
- Full history and clinical examination for all participants age, sex, and smoking with special emphasis on duration of diabetes, diabetic medication, and complications of diabetes.
- Study their anthropometric characteristics by measuring their weight, height and calculating BMI.
- Patients assessed as regards presence or absence of diabetic microvascular complications.
- Fundus examination was done to detect retinopathy. (Cotton wall spots, flame shaped, haemorrhagic dot, vitrous haemorrhage and maculopathy).
- Laboratory investigations:
  - Sample collection and laboratory investigations: After an overnight fast of 14 hours venous blood samples were drawn under complete aseptic conditions as follows:
    A- 2ml of blood were drawn on K3EDTA vacutainer for complete blood count using automated cell counter Cell Dyn 3700 from Abbott USA.
    B- 6ml blood for separation of serum. Samples were centrifuged (3,000g for 10min.), serum was separated. Serum blood glucose, urea, creatinine and complete lipograme as well as glycated haemoglobin were measured by using automated CO-BAS INTEGRA 400 (from Boehringer Mannheim-Germany).
    - Renal function test (blood urea, serum creatinine).
    - Urine analysis for proteinuria and detection of micro albuminuria using A/C ratio.
    - Fasting blood glucose, 2 hours post prandial blood glucose.
    - Lipid profile: Total cholesterol, LDL, HDL and Triglycerides (TGs).
Total cholesterol, LDL, HDL and triglycerides (TGs):
- Cholesterol fasting level (Normal level is ≤200 mg/dl).
- LDL-C fasting level (normal level is ≤130mg/dl).
- HDL-C level (normal level is 27-83mg/dl).
- Triglycerides fasting level (normal level is 50-200mg/dl).

Were done by standard laboratory methods using (modular auto analyzer).
- Measurement of CRP: The reference range for C-reactive protein is as follows:
  - CRP: 0-10mg/L.
  - High-sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP): <3mg/L.
- Measurement of RDW: The reference range for RDW is as follows:
  - RDW-SD 39-46 fL.
  - RDW-CV 11.6-14.6% in adult.
  - Reference ranges may vary depending on the individual laboratory and patient's age.
- HBA 1c.
- Patients assessed as regards presence or absence of diabetic macrovascular complications as cardiovascular examination, Resting Electrocardiogram (ECG).

Statistical analysis:
The collected data will be analyzed statistically using IBM-SPSS Version 20. Continuous data will be expressed in form mean ± SD and analyzed by using student t-test while nominal data will be expressed in form of frequency and proportion and compared by Chi square test.

Correlation of RDW with other variables in our study will be tested by using Pearson's correlation. Multivariate regression analysis will be used to determine different risk factors for diabetic complications in the study. The chi-Square test was used to compare qualitative data. The level of significance was taken as the p-value of ≤0.05.

Ethical consideration:
Written consent form before starting the study. Confidentiality was assured for all patients.

Results
The study aimed to assess the relation between RDW and complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its relation to other inflammatory marker as CRP. It included 70 patients had type 2 DM; 65 (92.85%) patients had macrovascular and 64 (91.42%) patients had microvascular complications with 20 healthy subjects control. Control selected from Internal Medicine Department at Assiut University Hospital and Endocrinology Outpatient Clinic.

Part I: Demographic and laboratory data of all subjects included in the study (Tables 1A-C).

Mean age of the study group was 61.51 ±8.57 years, 42 (60%) patients were male. Mean duration of DM was 9.96±6.03 years; 35 (50%) patients were on oral hypoglycaemic, 34 (84.6%) on insulin therapy while in only one patient DM was controlled by oral hypoglycaemic and insulin (Table 1A).

In the study group 39 (55.7%) patients had hypertension that was controlled on therapy, 7 (10%) patients had CKD (Table 1A).

Table (1B) showed the clinical characteristics of the study group. There was no significant statistical difference between both group respecting hemoglobin level, platelets count, TLC, MCV, MCH, LDL, HDL and TG level where p-value was >0.05. In contrast there was significant difference between both group regarding urea, creatinine, cholesterol, CRP, RDW, FBS and 2h-PP where p-value was <0.05 (Table 1C).

It was noted that albuminuria presented in 60 (85.7%) patients; 28 (40%) patients had microalbuminuria while 32 (45.7%) had macroalbuminuria. Also; 40 (57.16%) patients had Hb A1c more than 7% while only 30 (42.80%) patients that had Hb A1 c less than 7% (Table 1C).

Part II: Frequency of macrovascular and microvascular complications in the study.

Our study showed that 60 patients had both macrovascular and microvascular complications while microvascular complications presented in 64 patients and 65 patients had macrovascular complications. Only one patient didn’t have complications (Table 2) and Fig. (1). Sixty patients had IHD; five of them had history of CVS. Thirty three patients had nephropathy, 31 had retinopathy while both were presented in 28 patients (Table 2) and Fig. (1).

It was noticed that those with either macrovascular or microvascular complications had more RDW level compared to control with significant p-value (0.00) (Table 3).
ANOVA test showed that RDW was different significantly between different types of macrovascular and microvascular complications with p-value were <0.05 (Table 4).

The test was followed by Post-Hoc Tukey test to show difference between each type of complications and others. Regarding microvascular vascular, it was noticed that patients with nephropathy had high significant level of RDW in comparison with those with retinopathy and neuropathy (p = 0.00 and 0.01 respectively) (Table 4).

Table (5) showed that there was significant statistical difference between mean of RDW in those patients with nephropathy in compared to those with retinopathy with p-value was 0.00. Also, those with IHD had high level of RDW in compared to those with CVS with p-value was 0.00.

Table (1B): Clinical characteristics of the diabetic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>9.96±6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of therapy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral hypoglycaemic</td>
<td>35 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>34 (48.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>39 (55.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration (years)</td>
<td>7.11±4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>41 (44.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comorbidities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>60 (85.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>7 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration (years)</td>
<td>1.5±0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular stroke</td>
<td>5 (7.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical characteristics:
- **Diabetic neuropathy:**
  - Pain |
  - Numbness |
- **Cardiac characteristics:**
  - Chest pain |
  - Previous catheter (diagnostic angio) |
  - Previous ischemia |
- **Diabetic retinopathy:**
  - Loss of vision |
  - Flashes of light |
  - Presbyopia |

Table (1C): Laboratory data of all subjects in the study.

Data was expressed in form of mean ± SD or frequency (%). Nominal data was expressed in form of frequency (%) while continuous one was in form of mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study group (n=70)</th>
<th>Control group (n=20)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete blood picture:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g%)</td>
<td>13.46±1.33</td>
<td>13.25±1.59</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (X10^12)</td>
<td>287.75±61.81</td>
<td>282.98±74.65</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total leucocytes</td>
<td>8.75±3.62</td>
<td>9.61±4.35</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular volume</td>
<td>87.60±8.80</td>
<td>86.40±4.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean corpuscular hemoglobin</td>
<td>30.99±12.62</td>
<td>29.65±2.34</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney functions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (µmol/l)</td>
<td>206.49±148.62</td>
<td>81.00±29.79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (µmol/l)</td>
<td>17.14±12.02</td>
<td>5.64±3.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuminuria:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 (14.3%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro albuminuria</td>
<td>28 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro albuminuria</td>
<td>32 (45.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid profile:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>197.62±37.63</td>
<td>178.15±40.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mg/dl)</td>
<td>160.94±48.73</td>
<td>145.25±38.42</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High density lipoprotein (mg/dl)</td>
<td>58.5±20.52</td>
<td>67.37±17.48</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low density lipoprotein (mg/dl)</td>
<td>80.56±38.79</td>
<td>78.42±46.04</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose tolerance test:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.6±1.94</td>
<td>4.64±0.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2h-post prandial glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>10.68±4.04</td>
<td>5.69±0.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6%</td>
<td>10 (14.28%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7%</td>
<td>20 (28.56%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7%</td>
<td>40 (57.16%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>16.59±13.75</td>
<td>2.71±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW</td>
<td>RDW</td>
<td>14.91±1.86</td>
<td>12.06±1.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was expressed in form of mean ± SD and p-value considered of statistical difference if <0.05.
Table (2): Frequency of diabetic complications in the study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Macrovascular complications (n=65)</th>
<th>Microvascular complications (n=64)</th>
<th>Both macrovascular and microvascular complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IHD only</td>
<td>CVS only</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data was expressed in form of frequency.
IHD: Ischaemic Heart Disease.
CVS: Cerebrovascular Stroke.

Table (3): RDW in those patients with complications and control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>RDW (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macrovascular complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15.05±1.84</td>
<td>2.89-3.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.07±1.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RDW : Red Cell Distribution ration.
SD : Standard Deviation.
p-value was significant if <0.05.

Table (4): RDW in different types of complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>RDW (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular complications*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephropathy</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>14.15±1.43</td>
<td>2.21-4.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>13.18±1.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10.20±1.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Macrovascular complications*:
| Ischemic heart disease   | 65        | 15.65±1.11      | 1.23-1.99| 0.00    |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 23    | 13.08±1.14      |          |         |
| Cerebral vascular stroke | 5         | 11.23±1.89      |          |         |

RDW : Red Cell Distribution ration.
SD : Standard Deviation.
p-value was significant if <0.05.

Fig. (1): Frequency of diabetic complications in the study group.
Discussion

All forms of diabetes increase the risk of long-term complications. These typically develop after many years [10-20], but may be the first symptom in those who have otherwise not received a diagnosis before that time. Red cell Distribution Width (RDW) is a parameter that measures variation in red blood cell size or red blood cell volume. RDW is elevated in accordance with variation in red blood cell size or red blood cell volume. RDW is considered a predictive marker that may reflect an underlying inflammatory process and is related to other inflammatory markers like high sensitivity C-Reactive Protein (hs-CRP). Inflammation may affect erythropoiesis, circulatory half life and deformability of erythrocytes and thus elevating RDW levels. Supporting these findings Kim et al.,
[16] postulated that inflammation could lead to release of immature red blood cells into the peripheral circulation. Many studies confirm among myocardial infarction patients, that elevated RDW is associated with stroke occurrence (Ani & Obi- agele [17]). So this marker can be applied as a prognosticator for macrovascular and microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus Sherif et al., [18].

There was a significant difference between RDW in patients with macrovascular complications as compared to those without macrovascular complications (p-value=0.02). It was noticed that those with either macrovascular or microvascular complications had more RDW level compared to control with significant p-value (0.00).

The findings of this study were in agreement with Lee, [19] who stated that chronic vascular inflammation may play a role in the development of macrovascular complications in diabetic patients.

Also Malandrino et al., [12] suggest that RDW has a role as a predictive marker of macrovascular complications in diabetes mellitus. As they recommended, diabetic patients with raised level of RDW required close monitoring of risk factors as (dyslipidemia, hypertension and albuminuria).

Also the study showed a significant statistical difference between mean of RDW in those patients with nephropathy compared to those with retinopathy with (p-value=0.00). Also, those with IHD had high level of RDW in compared to those with CVS with (p-value=0.00). These were in agreement with Malandrino et al., [12] who reported that RDW was significantly associated with increased long-term all-cause mortality in diabetic patients after a mean follow-up duration of 3.9 years. In addition, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) have shown that higher RDW levels are associated with increased adjusted odds of myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke and nephropathy in a nationally representative sample of USA adults with diabetes mellitus.

The association of RDW with nephropathy but not with neuropathy or retinopathy is likely to be due to differences in the pathophysiology of these microvascular complications in a diabetic population.

It has been suggested that the association with nephropathy may be mediated through oxidative stress, chronic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction Afonso et al., [13]. A possible explanation of the association of high RDW with diabetic nephropathy could be that a high RDW is a marker of altered glomerular haemodynamics.

Diabetic nephropathy is associated with red cell fragmentation Paueksakon et al., [20], which results in elevation of the RDW Banoo et al., [21]. Also Lewko and Stepinski [22] could explain increased sheer wall tension, resulted from intraglomerular hypertension is thought to be involved in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy.

Such loss of deformability further predisposes to red cell fragmentation. Interestingly, a RDW above a threshold of 14% has recently been reported to be associated with decreased red cell deformability Patel et al., [23]. Loss of red cell deformability can also lead to impaired microvascular circulation and hypoxia as well as to micro thrombosis, all of which are thought to play an important role in the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. However, these latter factors have been also been implicated in retinopathy and neuropathy. It may be that the interaction between raised intra glomerular pressure and lack of red cell deformability in particular leads to erythrocyte fragmentation and hence a high RDW Zalawadiya et al., [24]. Age of the patients, BMI and CRP had positive significant moderate correlation with RDW similar to our study, Vayá et al., [25] mentioned a significant strong correlation between RDW and BMI.

Conclusions:

- This study confirmed a strong relation between diabetics with macrovascular complications and RDW.
- RDW considered as early noninvasive low cost test give information about the early diagnosis of diabetes complications and facilitate decision-making in prevention and treatment.
- RDW has a role as a predictive marker of microvascular complications in diabetes mellitus. So diabetic patients with raised level of RDW required close monitoring of risk factors.

Recommendations:

We would recommend a future study to detect the relation between RDW and microvascular complication in selected diabetic patients, as no published data till now were reported on the relationship.

Although the same mechanisms affect the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy and diabetic retinopathy, the changes in RBW was with diabetic nephropathy than those with retinopathy. So another study needed to clarify the exact pathogenesis.
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اختلاف آحجام كرات الدم الحمراء كعلامة للالتهابات في مرض السكري النوع الثاني

داء السكري هو مجموعة من الإضطرابات الأيضية التي تتراوح درجة إرتفاع السكر في الدم والتي ينتج عن اتخاذ السكر في الدم، والذي ينتج عن أشياء من خلال إفراز الأنسولين، وعندما يتم فحص الدم، يتم قياس السكر في الدم.

يصف داء السكري من خلال تغيير درجة إرتفاع السكر في الدم تراكمه تغييرات بيوكيميائية في الكريهات والبروتينات والتمثيل الغذائي للدهون. تصنف الآثار المضادة لإرتفاع السكر في الدم كمضاعفات الأوعية الدموية الكبيرة (مرض الشريان التاجي ومرض الشريان الشريانية والسكته الدماغية)، ومضاعفات الأوعية الدموية الفخذية (انتهاء الكلية، إنتهاء الأوعية، وإنتهاء الشريان)، ومرض السكري هو السبب الرئيسي للمرحل النهائي لمرضي الكلى والفسيال الكروير وفقدان البصر في الدول المتقدمة.

إنتاج آحجام كرات الدم الحمراء هو قياس التغير في حجم تداول كرات الدم الحمراء (في الكريات الكرة)، والتي يتم الحصول عليها بشكل روتيني في صورة الدم الكاملة دون الرني من التكاليف، واستخدمت في التشخيص التشريقي لزهر الدم.

القيمة العادلة لإنتاج آحجام كرات الدم الحمراء تراوح بين (6.5 و 14.2٪)، في حين تشير القيمة العليا لإنتاج آحجام آحجام الكريات الحمراء إلى وجود تقديرات كريات القلب في دراسات الأدبية، والتي تتعلق بالالتهابات والمرنوز وزيادة مستوى الأكسدة.

الهدف من الدراسة:

دراسة العلاقة بين إنتاج آحجام كرات الدم الحمراء والمضاعفات المختلفة في المرضى الذين يعانون من داء السكري من النوع الثاني، وعلاقتهن بعلامات التفاعلات الأخرى مثل البروتين الفعالية القليل.

النتيجة البحث:

وفي دراسة تقييم العلاقة بين إنتاج آحجام كرات الدم الحمراء والمضاعفات لدى مرضى السكري النوع الثاني وعلاقته بعلامات إنتاج آحجام كريات الدم الحمراء، وصلت الدراسة إلى أن 70 مريضا تعانون من السكري النوع الثاني (68٪) من المرضى لديهم مرض الأوعية الدموية الكبيرة و (42٪) من المرضى لديهم مضاعفات الأوعية الدموية الفخذية مع 20 شخصا سليما.

وأظهرت دراستنا أن مرضي 20 مريضا كان لديهم كل من مضاعفات الأوعية الدموية الكبيرة والأوعية الدموية الفخذية في حين مضاعفات الأوعية الدموية الفخذية في 14 مريضا، وكان لدى 50 مريضا مضاعفات الأوعية الكبيرة، ومرضي واحد فقط لم يكن لديه مضاعفات.

وكان 10 من المرضى يعانون من أمراض القلب وخمسة منهم لديهم تاريخ السكتة الدماغية وكان 30 مريضا يعانون من إنتهاء الكلي.

وكان 21 من المرضى يعانون من إنتهاء الشريانية، 28 مريضا يعانون من إنتهاء الكلي وإنتهاء الشريانية معًا.