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Abstract

Background: Induction of labour is common in clinical practice and is usually troublesome in primigravidas. Obstetricians are always in search of the best method of induction to ensure the best maternal and fetal outcome.

Aim of Study: To evaluate the effect of Intramuscular (IM) administration of dexamethasone alone or in combined with Hyoscine Butylbromide (HBB) on the duration of labour induction in primigravidas.

Patients and Methods: A randomized controlled study was conducted at the Obstetrics and Gynecology casualty department at Kasr Al-Ainy Hospital (Cairo University, Egypt) in the period from November 2015 to April 2016. 150 primigravidas with a singleton living healthy fetus who underwent Induction of Labour (IOL) participated in the study. They were randomly allocated into 3 groups: Group A where 50 women received IM dexamethasone (8mg) 6 hours prior to induction then IM 2ml saline at the onset of the active phase, Group B where 50 women received IM dexamethasone (8mg) 6 hours prior to induction then IM HBB (40mg) at the onset of the active phase and Group C (control) where 50 women received two IM saline injections (2mL) 6 hours prior to induction and at the onset of the active phase. IOL was done using 2.5mIU/min intravenous drip of oxytocin (in 500mL lactated Ringer's solution), increasing the dose by 2.5mIU/ml every 20 minutes until labour started. Amniotomy was done at a cervical dilatation of ≥6cm, if spontaneous rupture of the membranes had not spontaneously occurred. All participants were monitored by a partogram and continuous CTG throughout labor.

Results: Both groups A and B showed significant shortening of the duration of the latent phase (3.4 ± 0.6 and 3.6 ± 0.7 vs 4.5 ± 0.6hrs), the duration of the active phase (3.7 ± 0.7 and 3.7 ± 0.6 vs. 4.8 ± 0.7hrs), the duration of the 2nd stage (21.6 ± 5.3 and 21.9 ± 7.2 vs. 30.0 ± 5.3 minutes) as well as the duration of the 3rd stage (6.3 ± 1.7 and 7.5 ± 1.9 vs. 10.0 ± 2.8 minutes) respectively when compared to the control group (p-value <0.001 for all). No statistical significant differences were noticed in neonatal Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes between the three groups.

Conclusions: Intramuscular injection of dexamethasone alone or in addition to hyoscine butylbromide was found to shorten the duration of induced labour in primigravidas, with no observed maternal or neonatal complications.
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Introduction

INDUCTION of labor is the initiation of uterine contractions before the onset of spontaneous labor regardless the state of membranes. Its overall incidence is about 9.6% and in the united states, it was increased from 9.6 to 23.2% during the years from 1991 to 2011 [1].

Labor is induced when the benefits of termination of pregnancy to the mother and/or the fetus outweigh its continuation. Indications for induction include rupture of membrane without labor pains, oligohydramnios, non reassuring fetal evaluation, postdates and medical diseases [2].

Prolonged labor puts a great strain on both the mother and the fetus. Hence, shortening its duration will decrease maternal and fetal complications during labor. The main determinants of the duration of labor are uterine contractions and rate of cervical dilatation. The use of oxytocin and prostaglandins has been solidly documented in augmentation of labor [3].

Corticosteroids have been tried for labor induction. It has been postulated as one of the methods suggested for cervical ripening and labor acceleration especially after documentation of glucocorticoid receptors on the fetal amnion. Both placental and fetal adrenal cortisol play a role in this process. Fetal glucocorticoids influence prostaglandin synthesis through both direct and indirect action through activation of cyclooxygenase enzyme [4].
Corticosterone proteins were randomized into three groups: Group A in which 50 women received IM dexamethasone (8mg) 6 hours before IOL, then IM 2ml saline at the beginning of active phase, Group B in which 50 women received IM dexamethasone (8mg) 6 hours before IOL then IM HBB (40mg) with the beginning of the active phase and Group C (control group) in which 50 women received two IM saline injections (2mL) 6 hours before IOL and at the beginning of the active phase. Randomization was done using computer-generated random numbers.

**Inclusion criteria were:** Maternal age between 18 & 35 years old, gestational age 38 to 41 weeks (confirmed by a reliable date of the last menstrual period and 1st trimester ultrasound scan), vertex presentation of the fetus, favorable cervix (Bishop Score ≥7) & intact membranes.

**Exclusion criteria were:** Chronic or pregnancy induced diseases, any contraindication to vaginal delivery (e.g. mal-presentation, contracted pelvis or placenta previa), maternal use of drugs (apart from nutritional supplementation), abnormal vaginal bleeding upon pelvic examination, abnormal fetal growth (IUGR or macrosomia), non-reassuring initial fetal CTG, presence of regular uterine contractions (3/10 mins), uterine scar or anomalies, oligohydramnios (AFI <5th percentile) or the use of epidural analgesia.

For all patients, full history was taken followed by complete physical examination (including Bishop score assessment) and obstetric ultrasound. Initial baseline CTG was done to confirm fetal well-being. Patients were then randomized into one of the three groups and accordingly were given either 2ml dexamethasone (8mg IM) in Group A or B or 2ml saline IM in Group C 6 hours later, IOL was commenced using the following protocol; 2.5mIU/min of oxytocin intravenous drip (in 500 mL lactated Ringer’s solution), with the dose increased by 2.5mIU/ml every 20 minutes until labor was established (defined as the presence of at least three forceful uterine contraction over a 10-minute span, associated with progressive cervical effacement and dilatation).

No cervical ripening agents were used before IOL in the three groups. With the beginning of the active phase (defined as the presence of at least three regular uterine contraction over 10 minutes with effaced cervix not less than 50% with 3-4cm dilatation), patients in Group B received 2ml HBB while those in Groups A and C received 2ml saline.

Participants were monitored together with fetal well-being surveillance using continuous CTG monitoring. A partogram was maintained through-
out labor and vaginal examinations were conducted and recorded every 2 hours. Amniotomy was done at cervical dilatation ≥6cm if spontaneous rupture of membranes had not occurred. Opioid analgesia was given after amniotomy (meperidine hydrochloride 50 to 100mg IM at intervals of 2 to 4hrs).

Primary outcomes included recording and comparing the duration of the latent phase, active phase and the second and third stages of labour in the three groups. Secondary outcomes included the mode of delivery, maternal complications, and neonatal Apgar score at 1 and 5mins after birth. The study included a consecutive sample of all primigravidas in need of induction who presented to the authors during the study period. The power of the study was calculated based on the main primary outcome measure (duration of latent phase) and it was found to be 94% based on an effect size of 0.34 using ANOVA test.

Continuous data were expressed as mean ± SD and were compared using ANOVA test, then post-Hoc schefe test was used for pairwise comparison. Categorical data were expressed as number percentages and were compared using the Fisher exact test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences program, V20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

We recruited 257 patients and excluded 107 who either did not fill the inclusion criteria or refused to join the study. Finally, a total of 150 eligible women were randomized into three equal groups. Analysis included 128 patients Fig. (1). There were no statistically significant differences between the three groups regarding maternal age, gestational age, body mass index, Bishop scoring or the neonatal birth weight (Table 1).

Both Groups A and B showed significant shortening of the time from induction of labor to the active phase (latent period), the duration of the active phase of labor, the duration of the 2nd stage as well as the duration of the 3rd stage in comparison to the control group (p-value <0.001 for all). In addition, combination therapy in Group B caused further shortening of the third stage of labor compared to the dexamethasone alone in Group A (p=0.043). No statistical significant differences were noticed between the Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes after birth in the 3 groups (Table 2).

There was no significant difference in the rate of cesarean section between the groups (10% vs. 14% vs. 20%; p=0.363). The most common indications for cesarean section in the 3 groups are shown in (Table 4). There were no instrumental vaginal deliveries.

Assessed for eligibility (n=257)

Excluded (n=107)
- Not meeting inclusion criteria (n=31)
- Declined to participate (n=76)

Randomized (n=150)

Allocated to intervention Dexamethasone group (n=50):
- Received allocated intervention (n=50)

Discontinued intervention (n=5)
5 patients were delivered by CS due to:
- Fetal distress (n=2)
- Failed progress (n=3)

Analysed (n=45)

Allocated to intervention HBB group (n=50):
- Received allocated intervention (n=50)

Discontinued intervention (n=7)
7 patients were delivered by CS due to:
- Obstructed labour (n=1)
- Fetal distress (n=2)
- Failed progress (n=4)

Analysed (n=43)

Allocated to intervention placebo group (n=50):
- Received allocated intervention (n=50)

Discontinued intervention (n=10)
10 patients were delivered by CS due to:
- Obstructed labour (n=2)
- Fetal distress (n=3)
- Failed progress (n=5)

Analysed (n=40)

Fig. (1): Consort flow of patients through the study.
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**Table (1): Baseline characteristics of the study population.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>GA (wks)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bishop score</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth wt (gm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3292.4 ± 273.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (2): Comparison of duration of stages of labor and Apgar score in the study group.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Duration of stages of labor</th>
<th>Apgar score 5min</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Latent phase (hrs)</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Active phase (hrs)</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2nd stage (min)</td>
<td>21.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd stage (min)</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agpae score 1min</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agpae score 5min</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (3): Mode of delivery of the three groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>Group I (n=50)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
<th>Control group (n=50)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within the group</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within the group</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (4): Indications of cesarean section in the study groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure of progress</th>
<th>Group I (n=50)</th>
<th>Group II (n=50)</th>
<th>Control (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetal distress</td>
<td>2 (40.0%)</td>
<td>4 (57.1%)</td>
<td>5 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructed labour</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>3 (30.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The present study showed that the administration of dexamethasone alone or in combination with the hyoscine butylbromide significantly shortened the interval from the initiation of labor to the start of the active phase, it also decreased the duration of the active phase, the duration of the 2nd stage and 3rd stages in comparison to the control group (p-value <0.001 for all). In addition, combination therapy caused further shortening of the third stage of labour compared to administering dexamethasone alone (p=0.043).

As regards the effect of intramuscular administration of dexamethasone on the duration of labor, our findings are in agreement with those of Khashanian et al., who reported that IM dexamethasone (8mg) injection 6 hours before IOL significantly shortens both the time interval between IOL initiation and the active phase of labour commencement (3.09 ± 1.5 hours vs. 4.21 ± 1.8 hours in control group; p=0.001) & the duration of the 2nd stage (22.23 ± 16.09 minutes vs. 29.01 ± 15.32 minutes; p=0.0014) [13]. Similarly Ziaei et al., declared that using dexamethasone injections results in a notable decrease in the duration of the latent phase of labour (1.7 ± 1.5 hours vs. 4 ± 1.7 hours in control group; p=0.001), however, they failed to demonstrate any impact of dexamethasone on the duration of the second and third stages of labour [14]. This difference from our results may be due to difference in the number of patients enrolled in their study (55 women), as well as the different protocol regarding the dosage and frequency of dexamethasone injections; they used 10mg of dexamethasone in two doses 12 hours apart and started the induction with intravenous oxytocin on the next day. Khashanian et al., also studied the impact of extra-amniotic saline infusion in combination with dexamethasone for IOL. [6]. The authors concluded that adding dexamethasone to extra-amniotic saline infusion would shorten the time interval between IOL & delivery (7.25 ± 2.86h vs. 9.763.91 in the saline only group).

On the other hand, Kavanagh et al., reviewed a clinical trial conducted on 66 pregnant women in which the effects of prescribing IM dexamethasone together with oxytocin were compared with the effects of using oxytocin alone in IOL. [4]. They claimed that corticosteroids were not effective in inducing labour and that this method of induction warranted further research. The difference in results may be justified by the limited number of participants.

Several studies introduced hyoscine butylbromide (Buscopan) as an adjuvant to shorten the duration of labour using different protocols that vary in the route of administration (e.g., IM, IV or rectal suppository) & doses 20 or 40mg [3,15-18]. In the present study, the IM administration of 40 mg of HBB in Group B, showed a significant decrease in the duration of the active phase as well as the duration of the second and third stages of labour in comparison to the control group.

Our results are in agreement with Sekhavat et al., who reported that the IV administration of 20mg HBB significantly shortens the duration of both the first stage of labour (187 minutes vs. 260 minutes in placebo group) & the second stage of
labour (20 minutes vs. 25 minutes in placebo group) [3]. The same was reported by Kirim et al., (191.1 ± 43.06min vs. 248.2±66.1 in placebo group) and Samuels et al., (156 minutes vs. 228 minutes in placebo group) who both showed an effect on the first stage of labour, but with no impact on the duration of second and third stages of labour [15,16]. Additionally, Qahtani and Hajeri claimed the same results but they used 40mg of HBB intramuscularly (165 minutes vs. 215 minutes in placebo group) [17]. Moreover, Mavandi et al., & Sirohiwal et al., declared that HBB suppositories also significantly reduce the duration of the first stage of labour (141.0±81.7 minutes vs. 230.1 ± 169.6 minutes in the control group & 123.9±68.9 minutes vs. 368.1±133.0 minutes in control group, respectively) [18].

Recently, a Cochrane meta-analysis (17 trials, n=2617) done by Rohwer et al., reported low-quality evidence that antispasmodics shorten the duration of the first stage of labour by an average of 74.34 minutes with no impact on the duration of the second and the third stages of labour [19]. They also demonstrated very low-quality evidence that antispasmodics shorten the whole duration of labour by an average of 85.51 minutes.

On the contrary, Gupta et al. found no statistically significant difference between the HBB group and the control groups as regards the active phase of labour duration and the rate of cervical dilatation [20]. The combination of both dexamethasone and HBB in IOL, in the present study, may justify the difference in results.

Similar to our results, all previously mentioned studies denied any adverse maternal, foetal or neonatal adverse effects.

The main limitation in the present study was that we included only healthy primigravidas with singleton pregnancies. We excluded complicated pregnancies, previous uterine scar, twin pregnancies and growth-restricted foetuses. In addition, the impact of dexamethasone and HBB was not assessed in the presence of epidural analgesia, which warrants further investigation in the future, and the effect of HBB was not assessed alone without dexamethasone.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that assessed the efficacy of the combined use of dexamethasone and HBB on accelerating induced labour. We believe that any intervention that can safely shorten the painful process of parturition will be greatly appreciated by the patient and any such intervention will also reduce the total required dose of systemic opioid analgesia (which is associated with neonatal respiratory depression) particularly in regions where epidural analgesia is not widely available. Furthermore, shortening the duration of the first stage of labour minimizes undue depletion of the feto-placental reserves, with consequent signs of foetal distress, failed IOL and increased caesarean delivery rate, particularly in women with borderline placental reserve, subjectively reduced liquor amnii, or post-term pregnancies who are usually the target patients for IOL.

Conclusion:

Intramuscular administration of dexamethasone alone or in addition to HBB was found to shorten the duration of induced labour in primigravidas, with no observed maternal or neonatal complications.
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**تأثير الديكاساميثازون عن طريق العضل منفرداً أو متزامناً ببيوتيل بروميد الهيوبسين على تقصير مدة التحريض على الولادة لدى الحوامل البكريات**

الهدف: تقييم تأثير الديكاساميثازون عن طريق العضل منفرداً أو متزامناً ببيوتيل بروميد الهيوبسين على تقصير مدة التحريض على الولادة لدى الحوامل البكريات.

الطريقة البحثية: تجريبي شعاعي منضبطة ضمت مائة وخمسين سيدة من المترددين على قسم الطوارئ بمستشفى قصر العيني بجامعة القاهرة في الفترة من نوفمبر 2010 إلى أبريل 2011 حيث تم تقسيم هؤلاء الحوامل في جينين واحدة إلى ثلاث مجموعات. إشتملت المجموعة A على خمسين سيدة تم إعطاءها 8 مجم ديكاساميثازون عن طريق العضل قبل بدء التحريض بست ساعات. المجموعة B على خمسين سيدة تم إعطاءها 8 مجم ديكاساميثازون عن طريق العضل قبل بدء التحريض بست ساعات. المجموعة C تم ت الحقن ب 注射 ديكاساميثازون عن طريق العضل قبل بدء التحريض بست ساعات. هذه النتائج حسب جدول الفرق حسب جدول الفرق. وتم فحص المراجع وتم حسب المقياس كان وصل تحد عند الرحم إلى 8 سم. وتم متابعة نتائج التحريض عند 180 دقيقة قبل بدء الولادة.

النتائج: أظهرت المجموعة A ب إعطاء ملحوظ في مدة الولادة النشطة والمرحلة الثانية والثانية للولادة نسب مقارنة بالمجموعة C (p<0.05). كما أظهرت النتائج التي أجريت كثيرون من جديد في مدة الولادة النشطة والمرحلة الثانية مقارنة بالمجموعة A (p<0.05). أخرها لم يتغير أي فرق معين في مراقبة عن سنوات واحدة وتم تؤدي نتائج في المجموعات الثلاثة.

الاستنتاج: الديكاساميثازون عن طريق العضل منفرداً أو متزامناً ببيوتيل بروميد الهيوبسين يقصر مدة التحريض على الولادة لدى الحوامل البكريات دون مضاعفات لدى الأمهات أو الأجنة.